

**SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE  
COLLEGE PLANNING COUNCIL  
December 12, 2006  
3:00 – 4:30 p.m.  
A218C**

---

**MINUTES**

PRESENT: J. Friedlander, J. Romo, J. Sullivan, P. Bishop, P. Buckelew, D. Cooper, T. Garey, I. Alarcon, K. Molloy, G. Thielst, L. Auchincloss, M. Guillen, C. Ramirez and K. Russell

ABSENT: B. Partee, S. Ehrlich and S. Broderick

GUESTS: H. Arrington, K. McLellan (*for B. Partee*) and P. English (*for Sue Ehrlich*)

#### **1.0 Call to Order**

Chairperson Jack Friedlander called the meeting to order and turned the discussion of Item 4.0 over to President John Romo.

John Romo introduced Darla Cooper, the Sr. Director, Research/Evaluation, Planning & Institutional Assessment. He welcomed Darla and said she comes with glowing recommendations from the Ventura Community College District.

1.1 Approval of the minutes of the October 31st CPC meeting.

Tabled until the next meeting.

#### **2.0 Announcements**

There were no announcements.

#### **3.0 Information Items**

There were no information items.

#### **4.0 Action Items [discussed first on agenda]**

4.1 CPC's recommendation on the projects to include in the District's Long-Range Capital Construction Plan (LRCCP)

The Council was provided with the following documents.

- LRCCP Academic Senate Report
- LRCCP Recommendation
- Ballot Ranked
- Faculty response to LRCCP

President John Romo distributed the “President’s Perspectives” on the Long Range Capital Construction Priorities (to be incorporated as part of the minutes). He said he took into consideration in preparation of this document the report he received from the Academic Senate which included the work done by the Planning & Resource Committee and acknowledged the work and input provided by both groups. President Romo said he will be working now primarily with CPC. The format of the document he distributed is a “discussion worksheet” which reflects his perspective as President of the college on the long-range projects we have identified to date and from the input he has received from the consultation bodies. He said his intent today is to give the Council a sense of where he foresees the direction the college based on the input and the first-round discussions with the Board. He asked that after his presentation, the Council engage in its discussion/consultation and make a recommendation to him on the projects to be included in each of the categories. He will evaluate the recommendations and then make a final recommendation to the Board of Trustees in January.

In discussing the document, President Romo said it would be helpful to stipulate/articulate some of the broad facilities and construction priorities for the Santa Barbara Community College District. He discussed each of the bulleted items identified in the discussion worksheet. He said he was going to ask the Board to stipulate as a policy directive what its intent is as to the maximum growth plan for the main campus. He said the Board has taken the position that it wants to be very careful about over-building this campus. He said part of what makes the SBCC credit campus excellent and attractive, along with the quality of what we deliver, is that we have protected the aesthetics of the environment. It is important that we consult with community governance groups in the establishment of long-range facilities and capital construction priorities. John Romo categorized the projects in four different areas: (1) projects in process with some funding committed; (2) immediate and priority projects with no funding identified; (3) more distant future possibilities; and (4) no further consideration at this time. He said the items are not ranked but are of a relative standing as we go forward. John Romo said for the purposes of CPC’s discussion, he did not want the items “ranked” but rather presented to the Board with some form of relative consideration within the categories. CPC can use whatever format it chooses to make its recommendations to the President. He said there is some sense of urgency in presenting our plan to the Board if we want to go for a bond in 2008 as it will take considerable planning.

John Romo will discuss his recommendations after CPC’s input at the next meeting.

#### 4.2 EC’s proposal for distribution of one-time Professional Development Funds (*SB 1131*)

There was consensus by the Council on the appropriateness of the proposed distribution of funds with the exception of the 4% [approximately \$2,760] to be disbursed to HR/LA and Business Services for administrative costs for the required reporting to the Chancellor's Office on activities on which funds were expended. The Council felt this amount was excessive. Dr. Friedlander said that since the proposal called for funding college-wide training issues, HR/LA and the President's Office would not receive additional allocations of these funds for this year. The Council was reminded that these funds need to be expended in the current fiscal year.

**M/S [Guillen/Auchincloss] to accept EC's proposal for distribution of one-time Professional Development Funds as recommended by EC.**

*Discussion:* The question arose as to whether the motion was to include the 4% in funds allocated to HR/LA/Business Services to administer the funds. The motion was modified by the parties making the motion to exclude the 4% allocation. There will be further clarification provided to the Council on the need for the 4% in administration costs provided for in the proposal.

**The motion as restated was carried unanimously.**

- 4.3 Recommendation to have CPC serve as the District's Advisory Committee for the \$67,000 in one-time Professional Development Funds.

**M/S/C [Auchincloss/Guillen] unanimously to have CPC serve as the District's Advisory Committee.**

**5.0 Other Items**

- 5.1 CPC will be held on Monday, December 18<sup>th</sup> from 10:00 a.m. until noon in A218C.

**6.0 Adjournment**

Chairperson Jack Friedlander adjourned the meeting.